

**AMITY  
UNIVERSITY  
LUCKNOW CAMPUS**



**XI AMITY  
NATIONAL  
MOOT COURT  
COMPETITION**

**ORGANISED BY-AMITY LAW SCHOOL LUCKNOW**

**22-24 MARCH 2018**

**STUDENT CONVENOR**

**NALIN MISHRA 8052851111**

**MEDHA SINGH 9565596691**

**FACULTY CONVENOR**

**Ms.MUDRA SINGH**

**9451307436**

**To,**

**The Registrar/Director/Principal/Convener**

Dear Sir/Ma'am

Amity Law School Lucknow takes a great honour in extending an invitation to your revered institution to participate in its annual mootng event, the XI Amity National Moot Court Competition 2018, to be held from **March 22<sup>nd</sup> -24<sup>th</sup> 2018**.

The competition aims at providing a first hand exposure to the practical aspects of the legal profession to the students participating in the event.

We request you for an active participation of your institution in the competition. Please find enclosed herewith the requisite details of the event.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely,

**Prof. Balraj Chauhan**

**Director**

**Amity Law School**

**Lucknow.**

**Mudra Singh**

**Convener**

**XI Amity National Moot**

**Court Competition**



**RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR THE XI AMITY NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2018**

**1. Definition**

- a. Administrator/Organizing Committee/Moot Court Committee mean Amity Law School, Lucknow Campus.
- b. Participating team means the team which has registered itself for the competition as per the rules of registration given below.
- c. Participating College/University/Institution shall be presumed as the parent institution of the
- d. All the rules are only inclusive and not exhaustive for the competition.
- e. Rules should be strictly adhered to. Any deviation wherefrom would attract disqualification.
- f. All decisions by the organizers in case of any disputes/doubts, etc. will be final. The organizers may make such rules and procedures or change any such rules and procedure at any point of time, as it deems fit.
- g. Imposition of penalties including disqualification rests solely with the organizers in case of failure to comply with the rule(s) and deadline(s).
- h. All the E-Mails shall be sent to [contact.amitynational@gmail.com](mailto:contact.amitynational@gmail.com). No alternate address shall be used for any communication, whatsoever.

**2. Registration**

- a. The Institutions/teams should register themselves by sending an email to: [contact.amitynational@gmail.com](mailto:contact.amitynational@gmail.com) latest by February 02, 2018.
- b. **Reporting at registration desk Timing: 9:00 am to 10:00 am on March 22, 2018 at the venue of the Competition.**

**Note: 10 hard copies (5 copies for Petition and 5 copies for Respondent)** of the Memorials shall be submitted to the organizers at the time of reporting to the venue.

**3. Payment**

Institutions/teams must send (via Courier/ Speed Post/ Registered Post) the filled in Registration Form, Travel Forms and **Demand Draft** of ₹ 4500 (per team) in favour of **Amity University Uttar Pradesh**, payable at Lucknow latest by February 16, 2018.

#### 4. Address of the Venue

The Hard Copies of filled in Registration Form, Travel Plan, Demand Draft (DD) and each Memorials has to be sent at the following address latest by.

**Ms. Mudra Singh**

**Assistant Professor**

**Amity Law School**

**Amity University, Mango Orchard Campus**

**Near Malhaur Railway Station, Gomti Nagar Extension,**

**Lucknow-226028 (Uttar Pradesh)**

#### 5. Accommodation, Food and Transport

- a. Accommodation, food and transport to the participating teams will be provided by the Organizer in the college campus itself. No other place of stay shall be provided from the **afternoon of March 21<sup>st</sup> till the afternoon (12:00 Noon) of 25<sup>th</sup>, 2018**. The teams must bring along a maximum of three (3) members. **Any additional member shall not be entertained during the competition.**
- b. The participants must abide by the rules and regulation observed in the campus and the hostel, non-compliance with which may lead to disqualification.

**Note:** Intake/use/mere possession of any prohibited substance (e.g. cigarette/alcohol/narcotic substance) is strictly prohibited during the stay throughout the competition, non-compliance with which may lead to immediate disqualification.

#### 6. Dress Code

The team should adhere to the Dress Code of the competition:

**Female(s):** Black Western or Indian Formals (Black and White).

**Male(s):** Black Western Formals.

#### 7. Language

The official language is English.

## Team Composition & Eligibility

- a. Student pursuing 3 years or 5 years of LL.B. Degree in the academic year 2017-18 from any recognized Law School/College/University in the territorial boundaries of India are eligible to participate.
- b. Any recognized Law School/College/University in India shall be entitled to send only one team to the competition.
- c. Each team shall consist of a minimum of two (2) and maximum of three (3) members. Team comprises of two speakers and one researcher. Teams shall identify such speakers and researcher during the on campus registration. In case of a two-member team, single person act as a Speakers and the researcher.
- d. No additional team member other than the above three shall be entertained.
- e. Each team will have a team code (designated during draw of lots). Each Team shall be assigned a Team Number selected by the Organizing Committee shall use their Team Numbers for identification purposes. Names of participants or their colleges may not appear on or within the Written Submissions. Signature pages are prohibited.
- f. Teams shall not disclose their identity or that of their institution. However, the speakers can disclose their names only to the bench during the oral arguments.

## 9. Structure

The competition oral rounds shall be held over a period of 3 days i.e. March 22 to March 24, 2018. **The competition shall consist of four (4) rounds:** The Preliminary rounds, the Quarterfinal rounds, the Semi Final rounds and the Final rounds.

### a. Draw of lots

The Draw of lots for determining the site for which the teams shall be arguing shall be **conducted on March 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2018**. Each team will be provided with a **Team Code** randomly by picking chits once they report at the venue. Also, each team shall be assigned a side (petitioner/respondent) based on the chit it picks during the draw of lots. Fixtures of the teams will be predetermined based on the team codes.

### b. Preliminary Rounds

Each team shall represent both the sides as Petitioner and Respondent consecutively in the preliminary round.

Top eight (8) scoring teams of the preliminary round shall proceed to the quarterfinals. Quarterfinal rounds will be the knock out rounds. The sides of the teams and the fixtures shall be determined by draw of lots. The winners will be decided on the basis of courtroom win among the teams participating in this round.

**d. Semi Finals**

The winners of each courtroom fixture (four teams) of the quarterfinal rounds shall proceed to the semi-finals. The Semi-finals will again follow the knock out fashion.

**e. Final Round**

The winner of each courtroom fixtures (two teams) at the semi-final rounds shall be the finalists.

**f. Tie Breaking Procedure**

If at any point during the conduct of competition there is a tie, then the team with the higher memorial score shall be taken into consideration for qualifying into the next round; and in case of any further tie the researcher test score or preliminary rounds score will be taken into consideration.

**10. Format of Written Submissions**

- a. All Written Submissions (also referred to as memo/memorandum/memorial) must conform to the general requirements laid down herein. Individuals will be penalized for failure to abide by these requirements.
- b. Written Submissions must be typed and reproduced on white standard A4 paper (21x 29 3/4centimetres).
- c. The font and size of the text of all parts of the Written Submission (except the cover page), must be in Times New Roman 12-point. Footnotes shall be in Font size10.
- d. Teams shall cite authorities in the Memorial using footnotes following the Harvard Bluebook 19th Edition. Explanatory or illustrative footnotes are not allowed.
- e. The line spacing of the text for all parts of the Written submission must be set at 1.5 spacing, except that:
  - i. The text of footnotes and two separate footnotes may be single-spaced (1.0 spacing), but there must be double-spacing between each heading and the body-text of the Memorial.

**a. Parts of the Written Submission**

The Written Submission shall consist of the following parts:

1. Cover Page
2. Table of Contents
3. List of Abbreviations
4. Index of Authorities (with page number where the authority has been cited)
5. Statement of Jurisdiction
6. Statement of Facts (not exceeding 2pages)
7. Statement of Issues
8. Summary of Arguments (not exceeding 2pages)
9. Arguments Advanced (not exceeding 23pages)
10. Prayer (not exceeding 1page)

**b. Cover Page**

Each Memorial shall have the following and only the following on its cover page:

1. The team code on the upper right hand corner of the cover page
2. The name and place of the jurisdiction
3. The relevant legal provision under which it is filed
4. Name of parties and their status
5. Memorial Filed on Behalf of

It is further clarified that. The Organizing Committee reserves the right to refuse acceptance of any memorial which is in violation of any of the norms. Further, the cover page should be printed in the same paper used to print the remainder of the memorial. **Participating team shall not include their name or their institution's name anywhere in the memorial so prepared for the competition.**

Colour of the cover page must be:

**Blue** in case of Petitioner/Applicant

&

**Red** in case of Defendant/Respondent

The colour of the cover page shall compulsorily be Red and Blue (as the case may be) and no other variant of the colour shall be used.

**c. Index of Authorities**

Each Written Submission shall include an "Index of Authorities." The Index of Authorities shall contain a list of all legal authorities cited in any section of the Written Submission.

This list shall include a description of each authority adequate to allow a reasonable reader to identify and

#### **d. Statement of the Facts**

Each Written Submission shall include a full “Statement of the Facts.” The Statement of the Facts shall be limited to the stipulated facts and necessary inferences from the problem and any clarifications to the same. The Statement of the Facts must not include unsupported facts, distortions of stated facts, argumentative statements, or legal conclusions.

#### **e. Summary of the Arguments**

Each Written Submission shall include a “Summary of the argument.” The Summary of the Pleadings shall consist of a substantive summary of the “arguments” section of the Written Submission in paragraph form, rather than a simple reproduction of the headings contained in the argument section.

#### **f. Arguments Advanced (Pleadings) & Prayer**

The pleadings shall contain the substantive arguments with appropriate citations. The teams must endeavour to follow a uniform method of citation.

The prayer shall be the effective remedies requested in the pleadings.

#### **g. Compendium**

**All participating teams at their option can prepare a compendium as a supplement to their memorial submission.** The compendium may contain all relevant provisions of law and photocopies of important judgments cited if any. There can be no arguments/argumentative interpretation of facts/judgments in the compendium. Any such arguments will be disregarded and shall result in **penalization of points**. The compendium may be presented to the judges for further reference and clarity of argument presented by the speaker.

#### **h. Length**

The “Pleadings” section include: Summary of arguments, Arguments Advanced (Detailed Arguments), including footnotes and the Prayer may have no exceed more than 26 pages. Exceeding the stated limit shall attract a penalty of marks for every page exceeded.

#### **i. Margins**

Each page of the Written Submissions (regardless of content) shall have margins of at least one inch (1”), or two point five four centimeters (2.45 cm), on all sides, excluding page numbers.

#### **j. Binding**

As Amity promotes eco – friendliness, written submissions must be **fastened by stapling or stitched on the left hand side of the pages. No other type of binding is permitted.**

### **k. Plagiarism**

Participants must refrain from using same language or copying from other's memorials and other sources. Even use of publicly available information must be accompanied by proper citations. These rules shall apply to all parts of the memorial and not just the Pleadings and Prayer. A failure to comply with this rule may result in the guilty participants being debarred from mooted in this XI Amity National Moot Court Competition, 2018. The Organizing Committee in consultation with the memorial judges will take a final decision regarding determination of cases of plagiarism and penal measures for such action.

### **l. Changes in Soft Copy**

An attempt at sending a different version or shortened or corrected version of your pleadings as soft copy will result in disqualification from the selection process for the academic year. The Organizing Committee shall only take all decisions of disqualification after providing a hearing to the participants concerned. Hearing may be allowed in case of deduction of marks also if demanded by the affected participant(s) in writing.

### **m. Condonation of Delay**

Delay in submission of memorials will not be condoned on grounds like failure of printer, traffic jams, etc. However, the Moot Court Committee shall have final discretion in case of application for condonation of delay. The MCC shall act as the sole decision making body in this regard and no single decision will have precedent value and shall not bind the Society. Decisions could range from full condonation, to reduction of penalty and also refusal to condone the delay.

## **12. Team Members**

During each Oral Round, one additional Team member may sit at the counsel table with the two Speakers. The person sitting shall be one of the Team members registered.

### **a. Competition Scoring Evaluation of Rounds**

Scoring of Rounds shall be solely based on the oral argumentation skills of the designated speakers of a particular team. Each Oral Round will be scored by a panel of two or more judges.

### **b. Evaluation of Memorial**

Every Memorial will be marked on scale of 100.

### c. Criteria for Evaluation

The Memorial & speakers would be evaluated according to the following criteria

Knowledge of Law and Facts	20 Marks
Proper and Articulate Analysis	15 Marks
Depth and Use of Research	15 Marks
Organization and Presentation	15 Marks
Grammar and Language	10 Marks
Novelty of Arguments	15 Marks
Clarity of Thought and Originality	10 Marks
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>100 Marks</b>

### 13. Researchers' Test

- a. **The Researchers' Test shall be conducted on Day 1 of the Competition.**
- b. The test shall be for duration of **thirty (30) minutes** only. The test will be based on MCQs (Multiple Choice Questions).
- c. If a team comprises of three (3) members, then **ONLY** the Researcher is eligible to take the test. Researcher test marks will **NOT** be added up in the marking criteria.
- d. If a team comprises of two members then one of the two members shall be eligible to take the test. However, the team must notify the organizers as to which of the two team members shall take the test.

**NOTE:** Participants are not allowed to carry/use any study material during the researcher's test.

### 14. Scouting

Scouting shall be deemed to have happened if the speakers, researcher or any other person affiliated with a team is found:

- a. Witnessing, hearing, observing, etc. The oral submissions in a Round, except where the Round is one in which the team to which he/she is affiliated is participating in; or Reading a Memorial of a team except where: it is of the team to which he/she is affiliated; or the Memorials have been obtained on account of an exchange of Memorials prior to a Round of the team to which he/she is affiliated.

### 15. Disclaimer

The Material in the competition case study is not intended to and does not attempt to resemble any incident or any person living or dead. All material in the case study is fictitious and any resemblance to any incident or person, if any, is not intended, but merely coincidental.

## 16. Awards\*

- a. The team with the maximum score in the final round will be declared as the “**Winning Team**” and the team with the second highest score in the final round will be declared as the “**Runners up Team**”.
- b. The speaker with the maximum scores in the Preliminary Rounds will be declared the “**Best Mooter**”.
- c. The team with the maximum Memorial scores will be awarded “**The Best Memorial**”.
- d. The researcher scoring the highest marks in the researcher test will be awarded “**Best Researcher**”.

*\*Certificates shall be issued to all the participants.*

## 17. Contacts


The following persons can be contacted in the event of any query or clarification relating to any information released in the brochure or any detail related to the competition or email us to [contact.amitynational@gmail.com](mailto:contact.amitynational@gmail.com).

Student Convener.

Nalin Mishra – (+918052851111)

(+918416821111)

Medha Singh – (+919565596691)



## XI AMITY NATIONAL MOOT COURT COMPETITION, 2018

### AMITY LAW SCHOOL, LUCKNOW MOOT

#### PROPOSITION

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this moot problem are entirely fictional and do not in any way aim to hurt the sentiments or degrade the values and ideologies of any group of people, religion or individual. This problem is drafted for the purpose of National Moot Court Competition to be held at the Amity Law School, Lucknow Campus of Amity University Uttar Pradesh.

#### MOOT PROPOSITION


1. The Union of Indiana is located in the South Asian Region of Asia which is one of the ancient nations in the world. It is a democratic country with a written Constitution and having 29 States including the State of Uttam Pradesh and 7 Union Territories.
2. Earlier, in Indiana, various identity proofs were required for access to government benefits, subsidies and services, such as a ration card, driving license or voter id. However, as these proofs could be easily duplicated or forged, there was leakage of benefits and subsidies to ineligible beneficiaries. The **Janaadhaar** project was initiated in 2009 to address these problems. It was envisaged as a biometric-based unique identity number that could help in identifying eligible citizens. It was thought to be a more reliable identity proof, because it sought to authenticate a citizen's identity based on his unique biometrics, like fingerprints and iris scans.
3. In 2016, Parliament enacted the Janaadhaar Act, 2016 to provide legislative backing to the project. This Act allowed Janaadhaar to be used for authentication purposes by the central and state governments, as well as by private bodies and citizens, it was also made mandatory for everyone to register himself under the Act and possess Janaadhaar number. Under its provisions Janaadhaar was made mandatory for government services and to avail benefits of various government welfare schemes including LPG subsidies. In addition to it, Parliament has recently passed the Finance Act to amend the Income Tax Act, 1961, and made Janaadhaar mandatory for filing of income tax returns, and applying for PAN. The main aim of this policy was to check the leakage in government schemes and to prevent corruption happening in PDS and other subsidy providing schemes of the government and diversion of public resources to those who are ineligible. Basically, the philosophy behind this policy is „Zero Tolerance for Corruption“.

4. The process of collecting the information for identification, citizens of India are required to submit their biometric information (photograph, 10 fingerprints, scans of both irises), and demographic information (name, date of birth, gender, residential address) to the Unique Identification Authority of India (UIDAI). The Janaadhaar number, the demographic and biometric information (called identity information) are stored together in the Central Identities Data Repository. In addition, every time a citizen's identity is authenticated using Janaadhaar, information related to the authentication request is also recorded.
5. The UIDAI has been made responsible for the operation and maintenance of the Janaadhaar database and for laying down the security protocols for its protection.
6. The Petitioner, Ms. Medha Singh, filed a PIL challenging the policy of mandatory Janaadhaar cards in the High Court of Uttam Pradesh, on following grounds with a request that it should be struck down as unconstitutional:
  - a) The collection of identity data without adequate safeguards interferes with the fundamental right to privacy and personal liberty protected under Article 21 of the Constitution which guarantees right to life and personal liberty. The data collected by government is not safe and it can be leaked to private entities very easily threatening the life and liberty of the citizens. Recently one incident has been reported where data of 13 crore citizens has been leaked from Janaadhaar database and now is in the hands of some private companies and is being used for telemarketing.
  - b) Parliament enacted the Janaadhaar Act, 2016 and through various notifications made there under Janaadhaar has been made mandatory for availing benefits of various government schemes and services, which citizens are entitled to under law, and this makes it questionable. Seriousness of the situation can be understood by the fact that in such a short span of time many malpractices involving huge amount of money with regard to LPG subsidies of ignorant citizens in blatant violation of UIDAI norms are coming into light.
  - c) In 2017, Janaadhaar was made mandatory for filing of tax returns and applying for PAN under the Income Tax Act, 1961 and required that if a citizens failed to link his/her PAN with the Janaadhaar number by a date notified by the central government, his/her PAN will be invalidated. As per the justification of the government this will decrease the problem of multiple PAN cards obtained under fictitious names and consequent tax fraud and tax evasion, because Janaadhaar will ensure proper identification. However, this may interfere with a citizen's fundamental right to equality and their right to practice any profession, trade or business which is enshrined in Articles 14 and 19(1)(g) respectively.

- d) The Janaadhaar Act was passed as a Money Bill. A Money Bill only needs to be passed by Lok Sabha, while Rajya Sabha may make non-binding recommendations on it. In case of the Janaadhaar Act, Rajya Sabha made some recommendations that were rejected by Lok Sabha. Questions have been raised that Janaadhaar Act does not meet the constitutional requirements of Money Bill mentioned in Article 110, because it contains provisions unrelated to government taxation and expenditure. Hence, the manner in which it has been passed attaches voice of unconstitutionality.
7. Matter was heard by the High Court of Uttam Pradesh and it was held that Janaadhaar scheme and Act are invalid on the touchstone of constitutional provisions and ultimately gave the verdict in favour of the petitioner stating that through linking up of Janaadhaar with bank accounts, mobile connections and other day to day transactions will certainly improve transparency but on the other hand there is no effective machinery to keep a close and strict check to prevent the hacking and misuse of information given by the public.
8. The government moved to the Supreme Court challenging the Judgment of the High Court of Uttam Pradesh. In the meanwhile, Nalin Mishra, a journalist associated with News Network 24, conducted a sting operation on UIDAI in which he claimed that it was possible to obtain two separate Janaadhaar enrollment numbers or even the Janaadhaar cards with the same set of biometrics, questioning the authenticity of security system of UIDAI.
9. The report claimed that the system can be breached easily and ended with the statement that it was not safe to link anything with Janaadhaar. UIDAI has served a defamation notice on the aforesaid news agency stating the sting operation to be fake and also claimed that it was a trail by media when the matter was already in the court. The agency on the other hand is arguing that it only wanted the public to be aware and was doing their duty. The highest standard of protection of data and secrecy has not been put in place to ensure that there is no leakage. It is a matter of great concern which many citizens and civil society groups have expressed.
10. While all this was going on, two students of Indiana University, Aman Srivastava and Tanya Kumari hacked the UIDAI server and pulled out data from it for their own app. They gained access to the data through the Digital India e-hospital initiative of the Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology. FIR was lodged against them and during investigation it was found that they hacked into the server of e-hospital system and used to send verification requests to the UIDAI database for their own app. The UIDAI system gave permission to access because the authentication requests were coming from the e-hospital system.

11. A case of cybercrime under the Information Technology Act was filed against them for leaking the private data of more than 50,000 individuals. The students argued that the data was not protected and was easily accessible and they did it just to prove that the UIDAI servers are not fully equipped with security system to protect its data. There was outrage for such easy leak of data in the public against the government and its policy of Janaadhaar as, after the introduction of their scheme, the Janaadhaar related fraud cases have increased manifold.
12. The Supreme Court sensing the urgency of the matter suo moto took cognizance of all the cases and clubbed them together and posted for a hearing on 23rd March,2018.

**NOTE: All the Laws of Union of Indiana are same as that of India. The participants can raise any other issue relevant and mandatory to this issue of Janaadhaar cards of Indiana in the light of Aadhar cards in India.**





# AMITY UNIVERSITY

— UTTAR PRADESH —

## IMPORTANT DATES

EVENT	DEADLINES
Release of Proposition and Registration Begins	05 <sup>th</sup> January 2018
Soft Copy Submission of Registration Form, DD and Traveling Plans	02 <sup>nd</sup> February 2018.
Hard Copy Submission of Registration Form, DD and Traveling Plans.	16 <sup>th</sup> February 2018
Soft Copy Submission of Memorials	09 <sup>th</sup> March 2018.
Hard Copy submission of Memorials.	16 <sup>th</sup> March 2018
Registration, Inaugural, Draw of Lots, Memorial Exchange.	22 <sup>nd</sup> March 2018
First & Second Prelims Round.	23 <sup>rd</sup> March 2018
Quarter Finals, Semi-Final Rounds & Final Round. Valedictory Ceremony.	23 <sup>rd</sup> & 24 <sup>th</sup> March 2018

All hard copies (registration form, DD, travel plan and MEMORIALS) should be sent to:

**Ms. Mudra Singh**  
Assistant Professor  
Amity Law School  
Amity University, Mango Orchard Campus  
Near Malhaur Railway Station, Gomti Nagar Extension  
Lucknow – 226028 (Uttar Pradesh)

Note: All the communication shall be sent to [contact.amitynational@gmail.com](mailto:contact.amitynational@gmail.com).



**AMITY UNIVERSITY**  
UTTAR PRADESH

**REGISTRATION FORM**

Name of the College: \_\_\_\_\_

Email id of Moot Court Committee \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker 1:**

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Year, Course: \_\_\_\_\_ Gender: \_\_\_\_\_

EmailId: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact No: \_\_\_\_\_

Self-Attested  
Photograph

**Speaker 2:**

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Year, Course: \_\_\_\_\_ Gender: \_\_\_\_\_

EmailId: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact No: \_\_\_\_\_

Self-Attested  
Photograph

**Researcher:**

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Year, Course: \_\_\_\_\_ Gender: \_\_\_\_\_


EmailId: \_\_\_\_\_

Contact No: \_\_\_\_\_

Self-Attested  
Photograph

THE SPEAKER AND THE RESEARCHER ARE THE BONAFIDE STUDENTS OF THE COLLEGE. (BY SENDING THIS REGISTRATION FORM, THE PARTICIPANTS AGREE TO COMPLY WITH THE RULES OF THE COMPETITION)

Signature & Seal of the Head of the Institution



**TRAVEL PLAN- ARRIVAL**

Name of the College: \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker 1:**

Date of Arrival \_\_\_\_\_

Mode (Train/Airways/Bus) \_\_\_\_\_

(Train/Airways/Bus) Number \_\_\_\_\_

Time of arrival of Train/Airways/Bus \_\_\_\_\_

Other Details (e.g. Name of Airline, Bus Service Etc.) \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker 2:**

Date of Arrival \_\_\_\_\_

Mode (Train/Airways/Bus) \_\_\_\_\_

(Train/Airways/Bus) Number \_\_\_\_\_

Time of arrival of Train/Airways/Bus \_\_\_\_\_

Other Details (e.g. Name of Airline, Bus Service Etc.) \_\_\_\_\_

**Researcher:**


Date of Arrival \_\_\_\_\_

Mode (Train/Airways/Bus) \_\_\_\_\_

(Train/Airways/Bus) Number \_\_\_\_\_

Time of arrival of Train/Airways/Bus \_\_\_\_\_

Other Details (e.g. Name of Airline, Bus Service Etc.) \_\_\_\_\_



**TRAVEL PLAN- DEPARTURE**

Name of the College: \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker 1:**

Date of Departure \_\_\_\_\_

Mode (Train/Airways/Bus) \_\_\_\_\_

(Train/Airways/Bus) Number \_\_\_\_\_

Time of departure of Train/Airways/Bus \_\_\_\_\_

Other Details (e.g. Name of Airline, Bus Service Etc.) \_\_\_\_\_

**Speaker 2:**

Date of Departure \_\_\_\_\_

Mode (Train/Airways/Bus) \_\_\_\_\_

(Train/Airways/Bus) Number \_\_\_\_\_

Time of Departure of Train/Airways/Bus \_\_\_\_\_

Other Details (e.g. Name of Airline, Bus Service Etc.) \_\_\_\_\_

**Researcher:**

Date of Departure \_\_\_\_\_

Mode (Train/Airways/Bus) \_\_\_\_\_

(Train/Airways/Bus) Number \_\_\_\_\_

Time of Departure of Train/Airways/Bus \_\_\_\_\_

Other Details (e.g. Name of Airline, Bus Service Etc.) \_\_\_\_\_

## □ Chief Patron

Mr. Aseem Chauhan  
Chancellor AUR  
Chairman Amity University - Lucknow Campus

## □ Patron

- Major General K.K. Ohri AVSM (Retd.)  
Pro Vice Chancellor, Amity University – Lucknow Campus
- Prof. Balraj Chauhan  
Director, Amity Law School  
Amity University- Lucknow Campus
- Mr. Naresh Chandra  
Mentor, Amity Law  
School  
Amity University- Lucknow Campus
- Prof. Dr. Sangita Laha  
Deputy. Director, Amity Law School, Lucknow Campus

## □ Organizing Committee

### ○ Faculty

Ms.Mudra Singh Assistant Professor	-Convener
Mr.Ashutosh Shukla Assistant Professor	-Member
Mr. Ankit Srivastava Assistant Professor	- Member